



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,134	07/23/2003	Redford B. Williams JR.	5405.239CT	8271
20792 7590 12/27/2006 MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			EXAMINER SITTON, JEHANNE SOUAYA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/625,134	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WILLIAMS, REDFORD B.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jehanne S. Sitton	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jehanne S. Sitton.

(3) Mary Miller.

(2) Ram Shukla.

(4) Dr. Redford Williams.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 18 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Arinami.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Attached - proposed claim amendments for discussion purposes.*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*Jehanne Sitton*  
Examiner's signature, if required

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

### Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

#### Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

#### 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,  
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

### Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative provided proposed claim amendments (attached) for discussion purposes. Applicant's representative indicated that the example in the provisional application coupled with additional teachings in the provisional application and the knowledge that diseases such as cardiovascular diseases were known to be associated with stress provided support for the claim amendments. The examiner indicated that claim 18 appeared to have support in the example in the provisional application but that the second paragraph of the "summary of the Invention" appeared to conflict with the claim language especially for claim 19. The examiner indicated that the claims required further consideration and would not be entered after the final rejection. Applicant's representative indicated that they were prepared to file an RCE. The examiner indicated that the priority issue for both claims 18 and 19 would be reassessed. The enablement rejection was discussed. Applicant indicated that the study in Williams 2003, cited by the examiner, found that while 5HIAA levels did not correlate across gender and ethnicity differences, the promoter allele genotypes did. The examiner indicated that such analysis should be provided in a declaration along with data showing that the significance of the association with the long allele genotypes did not change based on gender or ethnicity. The examiner indicated that additional corroborative studies should also be discussed in a declaration.

**Draft of proposed claim amendments to discuss at PTO interview**

17. **(CANCELED)** A method of identifying a subject having an increased likelihood of having an increased sensitivity to stress, comprising detecting the presence of at least one serotonin transporter gene promoter long allele in the subject, whereby the presence of at least one serotonin transporter gene promoter long allele identifies the subject as having an increased likelihood of having an increased sensitivity to stress.

18. **(CURRENTLY AMENDED)** A method of identifying a human subject having an increased likelihood of having an increased physiological response to psychological stress, wherein said physiological response is increased blood pressure, comprising detecting the presence of at least one serotonin transporter gene promoter long allele in the subject, whereby the presence of at least one serotonin transporter gene promoter long allele identifies the subject as having an increased likelihood of having an increased physiological response to psychological stress.

19. **(CURRENTLY AMENDED)** A method of identifying a human subject having an increased likelihood of developing a cardiovascular disease associated with response to an increased physiological response to psychological stress, wherein said physiological response is increased blood pressure, comprising detecting the presence of at least one serotonin transporter gene promoter long allele in the subject, whereby the presence of at least one serotonin transporter gene promoter long allele identifies the subject as having an increased likelihood of an increased physiological response to psychological stress and thereby as having an increased likelihood of developing a cardiovascular disease associated within response to an increased physiological response to psychological stress.

20. **(CANCELED)** The method of claim 17, wherein the stress is psychological stress.

21. **(CANCELED)** The method of claim 18, wherein the stress is psychological stress.

22. **(CANCELED)** The method of claim 19, wherein the stress is psychological stress.

23. The method of claim 19, wherein the cardiovascular disease is hypertension

24. The method of claim 19, wherein the cardiovascular disease is coronary heart disease

25. The method of claim 19, wherein the cardiovascular disease is stroke

26. The method of claim 19, wherein the cardiovascular disease is an atrial or ventricular arrhythmia